UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION | |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|
| 10/519,488 | 12/30/2004 Seiji Kato | | 1787.1006 | 5664 |
| 21171 STAAS & HAL | 7590 06/02/200 SEY LLP | EXAMINER | | |
| SUITE 700 | | | PHAM, THOMAS K | |
| WASHINGTON | RK AVENUE, N.W. N, DC 20005 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2121 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 06/02/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Office Action Summary | | Application | on No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| | | 10/519,48 | 38 | KATO, SEIJI | | | | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Thomas k | | 2121 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply | appears on the | e cover sheet with the c | correspondence a | ddress | | | |
| WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | G DATE OF TH R 1.136(a). In no ev n. eriod will apply and w tatute, cause the app | HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tinular to the source of | N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133). | · | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1) 又 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0 | 7 April 2008 | | | | | | |
| · | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 April 2008</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | | |
| ٥/١ | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| D::4 | · | ioi Ex parto de | ay,e, 1000 c.b. 11, 10 | 30 0.0. 210. | | | | |
| · | on of Claims | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8)□ | Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar | nd/or election r | equirement. | | | | | |
| Applicat | on Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) | The specification is objected to by the Exan | niner. | | | | | | |
| • | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) | | objected to by the l | Examiner. | | | | |
| ,— | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| | | | - | | FR 1.121(d). | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority ι | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | | |
| ۵) | — <i>;</i> — <i>;</i> — | nents have hee | n received | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the | | • • | <u> </u> | I Stago | | | |
| | | • | | su III tilis Ivationa | 1 Stage | | | |
| * (| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | | | | | |
| _ | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | | 4) Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application | | | | | | | | |
| | nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date | | 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | ratent Application | | | | |
| 1 apor 110(0)/maii Bato | | | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 2121

Response to Amendment

1. This action is in response to the request for re-consideration filed 04/07/2008.

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but they are not

persuasive.

Quotations of U.S. Code Title 35

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

4. The claims and only the claims form the metes and bounds of the invention. "Office

personnel are to give claims their broadest reasonable interpretation in light of the supporting

disclosure. In re Morris, 127 F.3d 1048, 1054-55, 44 USPO2d 1023, 1027-28 (Fed. Cir 1997).

Limitations appearing in the specification but not recited in the claim are not read into the claim.

In re Prater, 415 F.2d 1393, 1404-05, 162 USPQ541, 550-551 (CCPA 1969)" (MPEP p2100-8, c

2, I 45-48; p 2100-9, c 1, I 1-4). The Examiner has full latitude to interpret each claim in the

broadest reasonable sense. The Examiner will reference prior art using terminology familiar to

one of ordinary skill in the art. Such an approach is broad in concept and can be either explicit or

implicit in meaning.

Art Unit: 2121

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese

Patent No. 08-137508 ("Kajiwara") in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,018,202 ("Takahashi").

Regarding claim 1

Kajiwara teaches the invention including a controlled-object model generation method for

generating a model of a controlled object, the method comprising: acquiring time series data of

manipulated variables given to a controlled object and time series data of controlled variables

outputted by the controlled object in response thereto (e.g. paragraph [0122]); and generating a

model of the controlled object by acquiring time series data of values which is outputted from a

transfer function when the acquired time series data of manipulated variables is inputted to the

transfer function (e.g. paragraphs [0032], [0036], [0042] and [0045], a transfer function which

modeled a controlled system; then the transfer function is used in the process of obtaining a

dynamic model), and identifying one or more parameters of the transfer function so that an error

between the time series data of output values and the acquired time series data of controlled

variables corresponding thereto or a value derived from the error becomes optimum (e.g.

paragraphs [0040], [0048], and [0060]-[0062], optimal data).

Kajiwara does not specifically disclose a transfer function assumed in advance.

However, Takahashi teaches transfer function is obtained prior to operation of a system

(e.g. col. 6 and 7 in particularly col. 7 lines 8-14).

Kajiwara and Takahashi are analogous art because they are solving the same problem to

optimize the operations of a system.

Art Unit: 2121

The claim would have been obvious is that all the claimed elements were known in the prior art and one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods with no change in their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded nothing more than predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. Thus, it would be obvious to modify the transfer function that determined in advance of Takahashi to the system of Kajiwara because the combination would not have change the respective optimization functions of the system.

Regarding claim 2

Kajiwara teaches the invention including a computer-readable storage medium encoded with a controlled-object model generation program used for realization of a controlled-object model generation method, the program causing a computer to execute the method comprising: acquiring time series data of manipulated variables given to a controlled object and time series data of controlled variables outputted by the controlled object in response thereto (e.g. paragraph [0122]); and generating a model of the controlled object by acquiring time series data of values which is outputted from a transfer function when the acquired time series data of manipulated variables is inputted to the transfer function (e.g. paragraphs [0032], [0036], [0042] and [0045], a transfer function which modeled a controlled system; then the transfer function is used in the process of obtaining a dynamic model), and identifying one or more parameters of the transfer function so that an error between the time series data of output values and the acquired time series data of controlled variables corresponding thereto or a value derived from the error becomes optimum (e.g. paragraphs [0040], [0048], and [0060]-[0062], optimal data).

Kajiwara does not specifically disclose a transfer function assumed in advance.

However, Takahashi teaches transfer function is obtained prior to operation of a system (e.g. col. 6 and 7 in particularly col. 7 lines 8-14).

Kajiwara and Takahashi are analogous art because they are solving the same problem to optimize the operations of a system.

The claim would have been obvious is that all the claimed elements were known in the prior art and one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods with no change in their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded nothing more than predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. Thus, it would be obvious to modify the transfer function that determined in advance of Takahashi to the system of Kajiwara because the combination would not have change the respective optimization functions of the system.

Regarding claim 3

Kajiwara teaches the invention including a controlled-object model generation method for generating a model of a controlled object, the method comprising: acquiring time series data of manipulated variables given to a controlled object and time series data of controlled variables outputted by the controlled object in response thereto (e.g. paragraph [0122]); acquiring time series data of values which is outputted from each of transfer functions when the acquired time series data of manipulated variables is inputted to the transfer function (e.g. paragraphs [0032], [0036], [0042] and [0045], a transfer function which modeled a controlled system; then the transfer function is used in the process of obtaining a dynamic model), and identifying one or more parameters of the transfer function so that an error between the time series data of output values and the acquired time series data of controlled variables corresponding thereto or a value

derived from the error becomes optimum (e.g. paragraphs [0040], [0048], and [0060]-[0062], optimal data); and selecting, from the plurality of transfer functions having the identified parameters, the optimum one as a model of a controlled object based on the error acquired when the identification is completed or the value derived from the error (e.g. paragraphs [0074] and [0075]).

Kajiwara does not specifically disclose a transfer function assumed in advance.

However, Takahashi teaches transfer function is obtained prior to operation of a system (e.g. col. 6 and 7 in particularly col. 7 lines 8-14).

Kajiwara and Takahashi are analogous art because they are solving the same problem to optimize the operations of a system.

The claim would have been obvious is that all the claimed elements were known in the prior art and one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods with no change in their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded nothing more than predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. Thus, it would be obvious to modify the transfer function that determined in advance of Takahashi to the system of Kajiwara because the combination would not have change the respective optimization functions of the system.

Regarding claim 4

Kajiwara teaches the invention including a computer-readable storage medium encoded with a controlled-object model generation program used for realization of a controlled-object model generation method, the program causing a computer to execute a method comprising; acquiring time series data of manipulated variables given to a controlled object and time series data of controlled variables outputted by the controlled object in response thereto (e.g. paragraph [0122]); acquiring time series data of values which is outputted from each of transfer functions when the acquired time series data of manipulated variables is inputted to the transfer function (e.g. paragraphs [0032], [0036], [0042] and [0045], a transfer function which modeled a controlled system; then the transfer function is used in the process of obtaining a dynamic model), and identifying one or more parameters of the transfer function so that an error between the time series data of output values and the acquired time series data of controlled variables corresponding thereto or a value derived from the error becomes optimum (e.g. paragraphs [0040], [0048], and [0060]-[0062], optimal data); and selecting, from the plurality of transfer functions having the identified parameters, the optimum one as a model of a controlled object based on the error acquired when the identification is completed or the value derived from the error (e.g. paragraphs [0074] and [0075]).

Kajiwara does not specifically disclose a transfer function assumed in advance.

However, Takahashi teaches transfer function is obtained prior to operation of a system (e.g. col. 6 and 7 in particularly col. 7 lines 8-14).

Kajiwara and Takahashi are analogous art because they are solving the same problem to optimize the operations of a system.

The claim would have been obvious is that all the claimed elements were known in the prior art and one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods with no change in their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded nothing more than predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. Thus, it would be obvious to modify the transfer function that determined in advance

of Takahashi to the system of Kajiwara because the combination would not have change the respective optimization functions of the system.

Page 8

Regarding claim 5

Kajiwara teaches the invention including a control parameter adjustment method for adjusting control parameters of a controller, the method comprising: generating a model of a controlled object according to a controlled-object model generation process for generating a model of a controlled object (e.g. paragraph [0032]); in order to adjust a control algorithm of the controller, adjusting control parameters of the control algorithm (e.g. paragraph [0033]); and creating and outputting data showing relationship among a desired controlled variable, a manipulated variable and a controlled variable by simulating the state when the controller with the adjusted control parameters controls the controlled object with the use of the controlled-object model and the control algorithm (e.g. paragraphs [0071] and [0072], controlled variable is outputted as the simulation result), wherein the predetermined controlled-object model generation process further comprises: acquiring time series data of manipulated variables given to a controlled object and time series data of controlled variables outputted by the controlled object in response thereto (e.g. paragraph [0122]); and generating a model of the controlled object by acquiring time series data of values which is outputted from a transfer function when the acquired time series data of manipulated variables is inputted to the transfer function (e.g. paragraphs [0032], [0036], [0042] and [0045], a transfer function which modeled a controlled system; then the transfer function is used in the process of obtaining a dynamic model), and identifying one or more parameters of the transfer function so that an error between the time series data of output values and the

acquired time series data of controlled variables corresponding thereto or a value derived from the error becomes optimum (e.g. paragraphs [0040], [0048], and [0060]-[0062], optimal data).

Kajiwara does not specifically disclose a transfer function assumed in advance.

However, Takahashi teaches transfer function is obtained prior to operation of a system (e.g. col. 6 and 7 in particularly col. 7 lines 8-14).

Kajiwara and Takahashi are analogous art because they are solving the same problem to optimize the operations of a system.

The claim would have been obvious is that all the claimed elements were known in the prior art and one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods with no change in their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded nothing more than predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. Thus, it would be obvious to modify the transfer function that determined in advance of Takahashi to the system of Kajiwara because the combination would not have change the respective optimization functions of the system.

Regarding claim 6

Kajiwara teaches the invention including a computer-readable storage medium encoded with a control parameter adjustment program used for realization of a control parameter adjustment method, the program causing a computer to execute a method comprising: acquiring time series data of manipulated variables given to a controlled object and time series data of controlled variables outputted by the controlled object in response thereto (e.g. paragraph [0122]); generating a model of the controlled object by acquiring time series data of values which is outputted from a transfer function when the acquired time series data of manipulated variables is inputted to the transfer function (e.g. paragraphs [0032], [0036], [0042] and [0045], a transfer function which modeled a controlled system; then the transfer function is used in the process of obtaining a dynamic model), and identifying one or more parameters of the transfer function so that an error between the time series data of output values and the acquired time series data of controlled variables corresponding thereto or a value derived from the error becomes optimum (e.g. paragraphs [0040], [0048], and [0060]-[0062], optimal data); in order to adjust a control algorithm of the controller, adjusting control parameters of the control algorithm (e.g. paragraph [0033]); and creating and outputting data showing relationship among a desired controlled variable, a manipulated variable and a controlled variable by simulating the state when the controller with the adjusted control parameters controls the controlled object with the use of the controlled-object model and the control algorithm (e.g. paragraphs [0071] and [0072], controlled variable is outputted as the simulation result).

Page 10

Kajiwara does not specifically disclose a transfer function assumed in advance.

However, Takahashi teaches transfer function is obtained prior to operation of a system (e.g. col. 6 and 7 in particularly col. 7 lines 8-14).

Kajiwara and Takahashi are analogous art because they are solving the same problem to optimize the operations of a system.

The claim would have been obvious is that all the claimed elements were known in the prior art and one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods with no change in their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded nothing more than predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. Thus, it would be obvious to modify the transfer function that determined in advance Art Unit: 2121

of Takahashi to the system of Kajiwara because the combination would not have change the respective optimization functions of the system.

Page 11

Regarding claim 7

Kajiwara teaches the invention including a control parameter adjustment method for adjusting control parameters of a controller, the method comprising the steps of: generating a model of a controlled object according to a controlled-object model generation process for generating a model of a controlled object (e.g. paragraph [0032]); in order to adjust a control algorithm of the controller, adjusting control parameters of the control algorithm (e.g. paragraph [0033]); and creating and outputting data showing relationship among a desired controlled variable, a manipulated variable and a controlled variable by simulating the state when the controller with the adjusted control parameters controls the controlled object with the use of the controlledobject model and the control algorithm (e.g. paragraphs [0071] and [0072], controlled variable is outputted as the simulation result), wherein the controlled-object model generation process further comprises: acquiring time series data of manipulated variables given to a controlled object and time series data of controlled variables outputted by the controlled object in response thereto (e.g. paragraph [0122]); acquiring time series data of values which is outputted from each of transfer functions when the acquired time series data of manipulated variables is inputted to the transfer function (e.g. paragraphs [0032], [0036], [0042] and [0045], a transfer function which modeled a controlled system; then the transfer function is used in the process of obtaining a dynamic model), and identifying one or more parameters of the transfer function so that an error between the time series data of output values and the acquired time series data of controlled variables corresponding thereto or a value derived from the error becomes optimum (e.g.

paragraphs [0040], [0048], and [0060]-[0062], optimal data); and selecting, from the plurality of transfer functions having the identified parameters, the optimum one as a model of a controlled object based on the error acquired when the identification is completed or the value derived from the error (e.g. paragraphs [0074] and [0075]).

Kajiwara does not specifically disclose a transfer function assumed in advance.

However, Takahashi teaches transfer function is obtained prior to operation of a system (e.g. col. 6 and 7 in particularly col. 7 lines 8-14).

Kajiwara and Takahashi are analogous art because they are solving the same problem to optimize the operations of a system.

The claim would have been obvious is that all the claimed elements were known in the prior art and one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods with no change in their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded nothing more than predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. Thus, it would be obvious to modify the transfer function that determined in advance of Takahashi to the system of Kajiwara because the combination would not have change the respective optimization functions of the system.

Regarding claim 8

Kajiwara teaches the invention including a computer-readable storage medium encoded with a control parameter adjustment program used for realization of a control parameter adjustment method, the program causing a computer to execute a method comprising: acquiring time series data of manipulated variables given to a controlled object and time series data of controlled variables outputted by the controlled object in response thereto (e.g. paragraph [0122]); acquiring

time series data of values which is outputted from each of transfer functions when the acquired time series data of manipulated variables is inputted to the transfer function (e.g. paragraphs [0032], [0036], [0042] and [0045], a transfer function which modeled a controlled system; then the transfer function is used in the process of obtaining a dynamic model), and identifying one or more parameters of the transfer function so that an error between the time series data of output values and the acquired time series data of controlled variables corresponding thereto or a value derived from the error becomes optimum (e.g. paragraphs [0040], [0048], and [0060]-[0062], optimal data); selecting, from the plurality of transfer functions having the identified parameters, the optimum one as a model of a controlled object based on the error acquired when the identification is completed or the value derived from the error (e.g. paragraphs [0074] and [0075]); in order to adjust a control algorithm of the controller, adjusting control parameters of the control algorithm (e.g. paragraph [0033]); and creating and outputting data showing relationship among a desired controlled variable, a manipulated variable and a controlled variable by simulating the state when the controller with the adjusted control parameters controls the controlled object with the use of the controlled-object model and the control algorithm (e.g. paragraphs [0071] and [0072], controlled variable is outputted as the simulation result).

Kajiwara does not specifically disclose a transfer function assumed in advance.

However, Takahashi teaches transfer function is obtained prior to operation of a system (e.g. col. 6 and 7 in particularly col. 7 lines 8-14).

Kajiwara and Takahashi are analogous art because they are solving the same problem to optimize the operations of a system.

The claim would have been obvious is that all the claimed elements were known in the prior art and one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods with no change in their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded nothing more than predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. Thus, it would be obvious to modify the transfer function that determined in advance of Takahashi to the system of Kajiwara because the combination would not have change the

Regarding claim 9

respective optimization functions of the system.

Kajiwara teaches the invention including a method for generating a model of a controlled object, comprising generating a controlled-object model (e.g. paragraph [0032]), which receives time series manipulated variables and outputs time series controlled variables in response thereto (e.g. paragraph [0122]), from a transfer function (e.g. paragraphs [0032], [0036], [0042] and [0045], a transfer function which modeled a controlled system; then the transfer function is used in the process of obtaining a dynamic model) derived from the controlled variables and at least one error in an output of the transfer function (e.g. paragraphs [0074] and [0075]).

Kajiwara does not specifically disclose a transfer function determined prior to said generating and optimum parameters.

However, Takahashi teaches transfer function is obtained prior to operation of a system (e.g. col. 6 and 7 in particularly col. 7 lines 8-14).

Kajiwara and Takahashi are analogous art because they are solving the same problem to optimize the operations of a system.

Art Unit: 2121

The claim would have been obvious is that all the claimed elements were known in the prior art and one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods with no change in their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded nothing more than predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. Thus, it would be obvious to modify the transfer function that determined prior to said generating and optimum parameters of Takahashi to the system of Kajiwara because the combination would not have change the respective optimization functions of the system.

Regarding claim 10

Takahashi teaches the method of claim 9, wherein the transfer function is not modified while generating the control-object model (e.g. col. 7 lines 8-14).

Response to Arguments

6. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, prior art Kajiwara (Japanese Patent No. 08-137508) and prior art Takahashi (USPN 5,018,202) are analogous art because they are solving the same problem to optimize the operations of a system. The claims are broad enough to read on any system that incorporate the

Art Unit: 2121

functional aspects of the limitations. Thus, an electronic noise attenuation system of Takahashi is well qualified to combine with Kajiwara because they teaches the limitations as claimed.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner *Thomas Pham*; whose telephone number is (571) 272-3689, Monday - Friday from 7:30 AM - 4:00 PM EST or contact Supervisor *Mr. Albert Decady* at (571) 272-3819.

Art Unit: 2121

Any response to this office action should be mailed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O.

Box 1450, Alexandria VA 22313-1450. Responses may also be faxed to the official fax

number (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas K. Pham

/Thomas K Pham/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2121

June 3, 2008